

### Ranking of Infrastructure Rehabilitation Construction Projects

Applicant	Project Name and Description	County	Amount Requested	Project Cost	Recommended Funding	Total Ranking
Tuolumne Utility District	Big Hill Water System Infrastructure Replacement project - The project would replace pipelines, rehabilitate, replace, and relocate water storage facilities, replace valves and pressure reducing stations, and replace and relocate existing pressure pump stations.	Tuolumne	\$4,288,681	\$5,288,681	\$4,288,681	<b>28</b>
McCloud Community Sevices District	Intake Spring Water System Replacement Project - Replacement of the springhouse, the 18,200 lineal foot redwood intake spring pipeline, and redwood water storage tank.	Siskiyou	\$4,645,342	\$4,645,342	\$4,645,342	<b>27</b>
Quincy Community Services District	Water Distribution System Rehabilitation Project - The project will be completed in two phases. Phase I is the replacement of 6,615 lineal feet of leaking watermain within the business district of Quincy and Phase II will replace 10,795 lineal feet of the leaking distribution system.	Plumas	\$2,105,269	\$2,105,269	\$2,105,269	<b>25</b>
Weed, City of	North Weed Water System Rehabilitation - The project includes the replacement of 24,870 lineal feet of old failing steel water mains, about 413 lineal feet of old steel services, the installation of 33 fire hydrants, and associated distribution system appurtenances. The project also includes the replacement of the Hillside Reservoir. Most of the steel mains are threaded through redwood pipes making leak repair difficult.	Siskiyou	\$2,713,242	\$2,713,242	\$2,713,242	<b>24</b>
Redway Community Services District	Barnes Lane Water Project - The proposed project replaces 3,022 feet of leaking waterlines along Barnes Lane, lower Orchard Lane, and Riverview Lane. The project also includes the replacement of 22 existing water laterals, and the installation of 3 fire hydrants.	Humboldt	\$225,412	\$225,412	\$225,412	<b>23</b>

### Ranking of Infrastructure Rehabilitation Construction Projects

Tranquillity Irrigation District	Community of Tranquility Water Tank Replacement - The project is the replacement of a leaking and failing elevated water storage tank.	Fresno	\$689,379	\$689,379	\$689,379	<b>23</b>
Rio Dell, City of	Rio Dell 2002 Infrastructure Rehabilitation Project - The project components include a new 12" transmission main loop around the City, new 8' transmission mains to divide the system into blocks, replacement valves and appurtenances for portions of the transmission mains, replacement of the 27,000 gallon Dinsmore Water Tank, a new 500,000 gallon water tank to replace the Painter and Douglas Tanks, and telemetry for the new tanks to monitor and control water levels. The project was not funded because of the limited funding available in this first evaluation cycle and lower rankings for readiness to proceed and threat to health safety , welfare and economy than other applicants with the same score.	Humboldt	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000	\$0	<b>23</b>
Newell County Water District	NCWD Water System Rehabilitation - Project components include the repair of storage tanks, replace well pump meters, and replace water distribution. The project was not funded because it did not rank high enough for the current funding available.	Modoc	\$2,005,923	\$2,005,923	\$0	<b>19</b>
Shasta Lake, City of	Water System Rehabilitation Project - The project consists of replacing approximately 51,200 feet of old failing steel water mains, about 1056 feet of old steel services, 62 fire hydrants, and associated appurtenances. The project was not funded because it did not rank high enough for the current funding available.	Shasta	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000	\$0	<b>19</b>
Yuba County Water District	Forbestown Conveyance Project - The project is the replacement of a portion of the Forbestown Ditch with a pipeline. The Forbestown Ditch is a raw water conveyance for a domestic water system. The project was not funded because it did not rank high enough for the current funding available.	Yuba	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000	\$0	<b>18</b>

### Ranking of Infrastructure Rehabilitation Construction Projects

Calaveras County Water District	West Point/Bummersville Water System Rehabilitation Project - The project includes replacing the existing 10" raw waterline, regulating reservoir remedial improvements, replacement of the Wilson Dam embankment, replacement of the Bummersville storage and treated water distribution system, and replacement of the Middle Fork Mokelumne River intake and pumpstation/pipeline. The project was not scored because it did not meet the eligibility requirement for unemployment. The applicant will reapply when the 2000 census is available.	Calaveras	\$4,955,000	\$4,955,000	\$0	0
Mt. Shasta, City of	North Mt. Shasta Water System Rehabilitation Project - The application was withdrawn because it was incomplete and the applicant felt they could not complete the application for the first evaluation cycle. The applicant will update the application for the next cycle.	Siskiyou	\$1,800,000	\$1,800,000	\$0	0
Plainview Mutual Water Company	Water Distribution & Tank Replacement - The application was too incomplete and premature for review and was withdrawn. Applicant resubmitted as a feasibility study which is recommended for funding.	Tulare	\$587,900	\$587,900	\$0	0
Tehama, City of	Water Improvement Project - The project was withdrawn because it did not meet the demographic eligibility requirements.	Tehama	\$416,494	\$416,494	\$0	0
Tulare County Water Works District #1	TCWWD#1 Infrastructure Rehabilitation Project - The application was too incomplete and premature for review and was withdrawn. Applicant resubmitted as a feasibility study which is recommended for funding.	Tulare	\$1,198,185	\$1,198,185	\$0	0
		<b>Total</b>	<b>\$40,630,827</b>	<b>\$41,630,827</b>	<b>\$14,667,325</b>	

### Ranking of Infrastructure Rehabilitation Construction Projects

Criteria	Title/Description	Weighting Factor	Maximum Score
G-1	Financial/Economic Need - Calculation based on median household income and water rates. Scored 5 (high) to 1 (Low) based on highest water rates compared to median household income	2	10
G-2	Readiness to Proceed - Assessment of applicants ability to proceed with the project. Scored 5 to 1 depending on number months needed to begin construction.	1	5
G-3	Estimated System Water Losses -Assesment of reduction of distribution system water losses. Scored 5 to 1 depending on percentage of current distribution losses well supported by documentation.	2	10
G-4	Threat to Health, Safety, Welfare & Economy - Assessment of a components threat to the community served by the water system. Scored 5 for a well documented threat to 1 for no failing system component to be replaced.	2	10
Overall Maximum Score			35

	Recommended for Funding in 2001-02 Evaluation Cycle
	Deferred or Withdrawn